

CAMES

Publication d'article scientifique P14

CCI- 2024

Auteurs : Lamidhi Salami, Yolaine Glele-Ahanhanzo, Marcellin Nimpa Mengouo, Charles Sossa Jerome, Maria Carolina Danovaro-Holliday, Julien Saleh, Moïse Désiré Yapi, John Samuel Otomba Tonda Epenge, Elisabeth Mukamba Musenga, Charles Patrick Makoutode, Edgard-Marius Ouendo

Titre : Contributions of the Measles Follow-Up Vaccination Campaign to Improving the Vaccination Coverage of Children Aged 6 - 59 Months in the Democratic Republic of the Congo in 2019

Référence : Open Journal of Epidemiology. 2023; 13:151-66

DOI : 10.4236/ojepi.2023.133012

Url de l'article :

<https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation.aspx?paperid=126062->

Bases de données d'indexation : Web of Science, Google Scholar, PubMed and PubMed Central, Base Search, CALIS, CrossRef, Harvard Library E-Journals, i-Scholar, JournalTOCs, Open Access Library, Open J-Gate, PubScholar, Système, Sudoc, Worldcat

ISSN (papier): 2165-7459

ISSN (en ligne): 2165-7467

ISSN: 2165-7459 Volume 13, Number 3, August 2023



Open Journal of Epidemiology



ISSN: 2165-7459



<https://www.scirp.org/journal/ojepi>

Table of Contents

Volume 13 Number 3

August 2023

Contributions of the Measles Follow-Up Vaccination Campaign to Improving the Vaccination Coverage of Children Aged 6 - 59 Months in the Democratic Republic of the Congo in 2019

L. Salami, Y. Glele-Ahanhanzo, M. M. Nimpa, C. Jerome Sossa, M. C. Danovaro-Holliday, J. Saleh, M. D. Yapi, T. E. J. S. Otomba, M. E. Mukamba, C. P. Makoutode, E.-M. Ouendo.....151

Clinical Management and Long-Term Follow-Up of Patients with Peripartum Cardiomyopathy: A Prospective Registry Study

F. Aw, S. A. Sarr, J. S. Mingou, M. M. C. B. O. Leye, M. Bodian, M. B. Ndiaye, A. Kane, M. Diao, A. Faye.....167

Appraisal of Existing HIV/AIDS Prevention and Control Measures and Presentation of Innovative Strategies to End HIV/AIDS Epidemic by 2030

M. Tumwebaze, J. Rubaihayo, M. Harold.....178

Prognostic Factors for the Occurrence of Blood Exposure Accidents among Health Personnel at the Kaolack Regional Hospital (Senegal)

B. Gueye, F. Ly, N. M. Diagne, M. C. Bop, A. B. Tall, A. A. Ndiaye, O. Ka.....195

Analysis of Epidemiological Investigations and Responses to Human Rabies Deaths in Côte d'Ivoire, 2021

I. Tiembre, T. S. Malthide, A. Bangama, C. Djoman, J. B. Bi.....208

Epidemiology of Low Birth Weight in the Lake Areas of Cotonou (Benin Republic)

G. R. Houssou, C. S. Azandjeme, N. Fanou, C. G. Metonnou, C. J. Sossa.....218

Open Journal of Epidemiology (OJEpi)

Journal Information

SUBSCRIPTIONS

The *Open Journal of Epidemiology* (Online at Scientific Research Publishing, <https://www.scirp.org/>) is published quarterly by Scientific Research Publishing, Inc., USA.

Subscription rates:

Print: \$79 per issue.

To subscribe, please contact Journals Subscriptions Department, E-mail: sub@scirp.org

SERVICES

Advertisements

Advertisement Sales Department, E-mail: service@scirp.org

Reprints (minimum quantity 100 copies)

Reprints Co-ordinator, Scientific Research Publishing, Inc., USA.

E-mail: sub@scirp.org

COPYRIGHT

Copyright and reuse rights for the front matter of the journal:

Copyright © 2023 by Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Copyright for individual papers of the journal:

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc.

Reuse rights for individual papers:

Note: At SCIRP authors can choose between CC BY and CC BY-NC. Please consult each paper for its reuse rights.

Disclaimer of liability

Statements and opinions expressed in the articles and communications are those of the individual contributors and not the statements and opinion of Scientific Research Publishing, Inc. We assume no responsibility or liability for any damage or injury to persons or property arising out of the use of any materials, instructions, methods or ideas contained herein. We expressly disclaim any implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. If expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.

PRODUCTION INFORMATION

For manuscripts that have been accepted for publication, please contact:

E-mail: ojepi@scirp.org

Contributions of the Measles Follow-Up Vaccination Campaign to Improving the Vaccination Coverage of Children Aged 6 - 59 Months in the Democratic Republic of the Congo in 2019

Lamidhi Salami¹, Yolaine Glele-Ahanhanzo², Marcellin Nimpa Mengouo³, Charles Jerome Sossa⁴, Maria Carolina Danovaro-Holliday⁵, Julien Saleh⁶, Moïse Désiré Yapi³, John Samuel Otomba Tonda Epenge³, Elisabeth Mukamba Musenga⁶, Charles Patrick Makoutode¹, Edgard-Marius Ouendo¹

¹Policies and Health Systems Department, Regional Institute of Public Health, Ouidah, Benin

²Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Regional Institute of Public Health, University of Abomey-Calavi, Ouidah, Benin

³Immunization and Vaccines Development (IVD) Program, Office of World Health Organisation, Kinshasa, DR Congo

⁴Health Promotion Department, Regional Institute of Public Health, Ouidah, Benin

⁵Department of Immunization, Vaccines and Biologicals (IVB), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

⁶Ministry of Public Health, Hygiene and Prevention, Kinshasa, DR Congo

Email: s.lamidhi@yahoo.com

How to cite this paper: Salami, L., Glele-Ahanhanzo, Y., Nimpa, M.M., Sossa Jerome, C., Danovaro-Holliday, M.C., Saleh, J., Yapi, M.D., Otomba, T.E.J.S., Mukamba, M.E., Makoutode, C.P. and Ouendo, E.-M. (2023) Contributions of the Measles Follow-Up Vaccination Campaign to Improving the Vaccination Coverage of Children Aged 6 - 59 Months in the Democratic Republic of the Congo in 2019. *Open Journal of Epidemiology*, 13, 151-166.

<https://doi.org/10.4236/ojepi.2023.133012>

Received: May 9, 2023

Accepted: June 30, 2023

Published: July 3, 2023

Abstract

Background: In accordance with its measles elimination strategic plan 2012-2020, the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) organized a follow-up vaccination campaign against measles from October to December 2019 in 26 provinces. This study aims to establish the contribution of this supplementary vaccination campaign to protecting children against measles.

Methods: The survey was carried out in November 2020 among households of the DRC, according to the 2018 revised version of the World Health Organization's stratified cluster sampling method, using multiple stage sampling. It targeted 280 children aged 6 - 59 months per stratum or province, with 10 children in each of the 28 selected clusters. Data collection using tablets with centralized and real-time data processing was preceded by enumeration to refine the household sampling frame. Clusters and households were selected by random draw. Data collected with CS Pro 7 software were analyzed with SPSS, Epi info 7 and Excel software to determine indicators and make before-after comparisons using the McNemar test, at a precision threshold of 5%. **Results:** Of the 8535 surveyed children, 89.5% were vaccinated

Copyright © 2023 by author(s) and Scientific Research Publishing Inc. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



Open Access

during the follow-up campaign and 81.6% were vaccinated before. Only 3.7% had correctly completed campaign vaccination cards. Estimated vaccination coverage increased from 80.8% before the campaign to 92.6% after the campaign ($p \ll 0.001$). Vaccination coverage after campaign against measles improved in all provinces ($p < 0.001$) except Bas-Uele and Maniema. Thirteen provinces reached the national coverage target of 95%, compared to five before the campaign. The proportion of zero-dose children dropped significantly after this campaign from 19.2% to 7.4% ($p \ll 0.001$), and even fell below 1% in six provinces. **Conclusion:** This measles vaccination campaign improved overall vaccination coverage by 10% and reached more unvaccinated children. Efforts must continue to improve the retention of vaccination card, the adherence of unvaccinated children and the effectiveness of routine vaccination.

Keywords

Measles, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Child under 59 Months, Vaccination Campaign

1. Introduction

Measles is a highly contagious infectious disease that still causes many cases and deaths each year, despite the existence of an effective vaccine since 1960. It is most prevalent in developing countries, particularly in sub-Saharan African countries [1]. The Democratic Republic of the Congo is also concerned, especially in 2019 when 253 health zones were in measles epidemic and 311,471 suspected cases, including 6045 deaths, were reported, compared with 45,165 and 65,098 suspected cases in 2017 and 2018 respectively. These numerous cases are recorded while from 2017 to 2019, the administrative vaccination coverage varied between 91% and 92% [2].

Between 2000 and 2015, the reported annual global incidence of this disease fell by 75%, from 146 to 35 cases per million population, and the number of deaths was down by 79% [1].

During the decade 2000-2010, overall immunization coverage for the first dose of measles vaccine increased from 72% to 85%, remaining between 84% and 86% over the period 2010-2019 [3] [4]. Supplementary immunization activities have contributed to these results as in 2015, they immunized 184 million people against measles [3].

Based on the vaccine's effectiveness and successes, the World Health Organization has included vaccination as a central pillar of the global measles elimination action plan adopted in 2012. This plan has been translated into regional or national elimination plans in each WHO region and country, with the goal of eliminating measles and rubella in five of the six WHO regions by 2020 [5]. Measles elimination strategies include achieving 95% or higher coverage with two doses of routine measles vaccine and the implementation of supplementary

immunization activities in areas with low coverage or high-risk populations [1]

Although measles vaccination has saved millions of lives, data indicate that progress towards the elimination goal has slowed since 2010 [3].

The Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) is also affected by this situation since, despite the significant progress recently made in the fight against vaccine-preventable diseases, it is experiencing persistent circulation of the measles virus with the frequent appearance outbreaks in several provinces in recent years. In accordance with the resolution of the WHO Regional Committee for the African Region, adopted in November 2011 and its 2012-2020 national strategic plan for measles elimination, the country systematically organizes, every three years, a national measles follow-up vaccination campaign program throughout its territory [6]. This campaign enables the country to offer a second opportunity to unvaccinated or under-vaccinated children and to protect all children, particularly susceptible ones.

After the 2016 edition, the Ministry of Health, supported by its partners including the World Health Organization (WHO), the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) and the Global Alliance for Immunization and Vaccines (GAVI), organized a new edition of the national measles follow-up vaccination campaign from October to December 2019 in the 26 provinces. This study is part of the post-campaign survey prescribed by the WHO to ensure the quality of the said campaign and the effectiveness of target achievement [7]. It aims to determine the contributions of this follow-up measles vaccination campaign to the vaccination coverage of children in the DRC in 2019.

2. Study Methods

2.1. Survey Framework

The cross-sectional survey took place in the 26 provinces of the DRC. In each province, the collection took place in the villages/neighborhoods/avenues of the enumeration areas which are subdivisions of almost equal size defined by the National Institute of Statistics during the 2017 MICS-Palu survey. All areas, which constitute the clusters, benefited from the measles follow-up vaccination campaign during October to December 2019.

2.2. Type and Population of the Study

This is a descriptive cross-sectional study with an analytical aim. It is a quasi-experimental study with a before-after comparison of measles vaccination data for children aged 6 - 59 months during the campaign.

Study is made up of children aged 6 - 59 months from households covered by the measles campaign carried out from October to December 2019. In the households, the children's parents/caregivers were interviewed on the various aspects of the campaign to assess children's immunization status.

The only inclusion criterion was the presence in the household of a child aged 6-59 months at the time of the campaign.

2.3. Sampling and Sample Size

It is a household survey carried out in accordance with the approach prescribed by the WHO [8]. This is a multistage stratified cluster survey in which each of the 26 provinces was a stratum and the location of the cluster, urban or rural, was considered.

The sampling was carried out with the support of the National Institute of Statistics (INS). The sample was constituted by systematic random selection of enumeration areas constituting the clusters or primary sampling units (PSU), at the first stage, then by simple random selection of households, at the second stage. The children found in the selected households were all enrolled. The number of clusters to be surveyed in a province was distributed between urban and rural settings, proportionally to the population size of the health zones.

The sampling frame used for the survey was updated and refined through enumeration by an independent team to determine the enumeration areas or clusters, and then identify and number the households in the selected clusters.

Calculated by considering the expected vaccination coverage target of 95% for the vaccination campaign, a desired precision of the estimate of $\pm 7\%$, the statistical confidence level of the estimate of 95%, a non-response rate of 15%, an intra-cluster coefficient of $1/6$, a number of at least 10 targets per cluster (in 15 households) and a cluster effect of 2.5, the minimum estimated sample size was 280 children, *i.e.* 420 households to be surveyed in each stratum. For the whole country, the minimum expected sample size was 7280 children in 728 clusters of ten (10) children each. Children fulfilling the inclusion criteria were enrolled exhaustively in each selected household.

Sample size calculation

- Minimum sample size = $TEE * EPS = 110 * 2.503 = 275.33$ (*i.e.*, approximately 280)

- Number of clusters = $280/10 = 28$
Number of households per cluster

-
$$= \frac{\text{Cluster size}}{\text{Household size} \times \% \text{ eligible children}} \times \text{non response rate}$$

$$= 10 / (5 \times 16\%) \times 1.18 = 14.75 \text{ i.e. } (15) \text{ households}$$

2.4. Data Collected and Organization of Collection

Information was collected from the parents/caregivers on the children's characteristics as sex, age during the campaign, place of residence, and their vaccination status before the campaign (routine vaccination) and after the campaign (routine or vaccination campaign). The vaccination campaign card and the vaccination record were the evidence collected on the vaccination status. Refusal or absence of a child was resolved by two household revisits.

The contribution of the campaign was assessed using the vaccination status (vaccinated or not vaccinated) of the children after the campaign versus before. It was positive when vaccination coverage increases or when the proportion of children not vaccinated against measles or "zero doses" decreased.

The collection was carried out using tablets/android phones used to collect the data via an entry mask designed with CS Pro 7.3 software.

2.5. Data Processing and Analysis

Data collection took place in a single phase, from November to December 2020. The data entered during collection and transferred to the warehouse are processed in real time by controllers to detect, document and eliminate inconsistencies and errors.

The data analyses, carried out with SPSS, Epi info 7 and Excel software, considered stratification (weighting) and the cluster effect. The weighting variable was integrated into the database for the calculation of the indicators, with a 95% confidence interval. These are the vaccination coverage before the campaign and after the campaign according to the history and the vaccination card, the proportions of zero-doses children for overall and according to children's characteristics. The ratio of the proportions of zero-doses before the campaign versus after the campaign was calculated to estimate the significance of change. A bivariate analysis was performed using the McNemar test to compare the estimated vaccination coverage before and after the campaign as well as the proportions of zero doses, at a significance level $p = 0.05$.

2.6. Ethical Considerations

The research protocol has received the favorable opinion of the National Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Scientific Research and the authorization of the National Institute of Statistics. The oral informed consent of the head of household and the respondent was obtained before administration of the questionnaire. The confidentiality and anonymity of the respondents were respected.

3. Results

3.1. Sample Description

The number of respondents during data collection was 8535 children, of whom 12 did not have complete information. The planned number of participants was reached and 100% of the clusters were covered in the 26 provinces.

- Distribution of participants according to their characteristics

Of the 8535 children, the groups that were most represented in relation to their characteristics were the males (51.5%), the 36 - 59 months age group (48.9%) and, at the time of the survey, those living in rural areas (78.9%). The surveyed children were often dependent on their mother (84.9%). The parents/caregivers of the children were often uneducated (40.6%) or had an education level not exceeding primary school (36.9%). They were married (87.4%) and practiced mainly catholic Christian religion (26.7%), Protestant (40.0%). More than nine out of ten respondents were followers of Christianity or "other Christians religious movements" (Kimbanguism, Jehovah's witnesses, the Anglican Church, and the revealed or evangelical churches) (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Distribution of respondents according to their socio-demographic characteristics during the 2019 measles follow-up vaccination post-campaign survey in the DRC (n = 8523).

Characteristics	Number	Proportion (%)
Sex		
Male	4388	51.5
Female	4135	48.5
Age during the campaign (months)		
12 to 23	910	10.7
24 to 35	2110	24.8
36 to 59	4170	48.9
6 to 11	80	0.9
60 months or more*	1253	14.7
Place of residence of the child		
Rural	6725	78.9
Urban	1798	21.1
Child's parent/caregiver		
Mother	7235	84.9
Father	624	7.3
Grandfather/grandmother	419	4.9
Others	245	2.9
Educational level of the parent/caregiver		
No level	3458	40.6
Primary	3145	36.9
Secondary	1663	19.5
Higher/university	255	3.0
Religion of the parent/caregiver		
Catholic	2277	26.7
Protestant	3411	40.0
Muslim	151	1.8
traditional	346	4.1
Without religion	207	2.4
Other (explain, list)	2129	25.0
Marital status of the parent/caregiver		
Single	520	6.1
Married	7447	87.4
Divorced/separated	282	3.3
Widower	272	3.2

*These targets were not eligible; there was either no compliance with the instructions of the campaign, or error on the age declared by the parents, especially since the survey took place more than six months after the campaign.

3.2. Campaign Vaccination Coverage

- Campaign vaccination coverage by card or history

Among the respondents, 89.5% were vaccinated during the follow-up campaign and 81.58% before ($p \ll 0.001$). The campaign vaccination coverage according to the card was 5.85% and 3.7% had a correctly completed card. Routine vaccination coverage according to the card (3.75%) was even lower among the respondents. (**Table 2**) Both for routine vaccination and the campaign, the vaccination coverage did not differ significantly according to the sex and the person in charge of the child.

Among respondents, vaccination coverage of the campaign was significantly higher among children vaccinated before the campaign (95.14%) than unvaccinated (64.71%) ($p \ll 0.001$). This was not the same for the child's place of residence, nor his sex, nor his age group, nor the caregiver, nor caregiver characteristics.

Before the campaign, children were more vaccinated in rural areas (82.56%) than in urban areas (77.92%) ($p \ll 0.001$).

3.3. Effect of the Campaign on Children's Vaccination against Measles

- Analysis of vaccination coverage by province before and after the campaign

The vaccination coverage of children aged 6 - 59 months, according to history or card, was estimated by considering the weighting of the units enrolled in the study. This estimated coverage for all children increased from 80.84% before the campaign to 92.56% after the campaign ($p \ll 0.001$).

Post-campaign vaccination coverage estimated according to card or history was high in the provinces of Kwango (99.73%), Haut-Uele (99.41%), Lomami (99.05%), North Kivu (98.95%), and low in the provinces of Ituri (67.07%) and Kasai Oriental (82.32%).

According to **Table 3** below, except in Bas-Uele and Maniema, measles vaccination coverage improved significantly following the campaign ($p \ll 0.001$).

- Analysis of vaccination coverage before and after the campaign according to the socio-demographic characteristics of the children

Vaccination coverage after the campaign was significantly higher than before, regardless of the sex, age and residence place of the child. As before the campaign, measles vaccination coverage after the campaign was higher in rural areas (92.74%) than in urban areas (90.29%), among girls (93.23%) than in boys (91.96%), among children aged 24 months and older than among those aged under 24 months ($p \ll 0.001$). After the campaign, the number of provinces that reached the national coverage target of 95% was thirteen compared with five before the campaign, and only one province had coverage below 80% ($p \ll 0.001$) after the campaign compared with eight (*i.e.* nearly a third of the number of the provinces) before (**Table 4**).

- Effect of the 2019 measles follow-up vaccination campaign on zero-dose children in the DRC

Table 2. Vaccination coverage according to the card/record or the history of respondents by socio-demographic characteristics for routine vaccination (before the campaign) and for the 2019 measles follow-up vaccination campaign in the DRC.

Characteristics	Routine vaccination coverage			Campaign vaccination coverage			p-value
	Number (%)	Wilson 95% CI (%)		Number (%)	Wilson 95% CI (%)		
Vaccinated (n = 8523)							
Yes (story and/or card)	6953 (81.58)	80.74	82.39	7631 (89.53)	88.87	90.17	≤0.001
According to card only	320 (3.75)	3.37	4.18	499 (5.85)	5.38	6.37	≤0.001
According to correctly completed card				317 (3.72)	3.34	4.14	
According to the child's place of residence							
Rural (n = 6725)	5552 (82.56)	81.63	83.45	6046 (89.90)	89.16	90.60	≤0.001
Urban (n = 1798)	1401 (77.92)	75.94	79.78	1585 (88.15)	86.58	89.57	≤0.001
According to the sex of the child							
Male (n = 4388)	3566 (81.27)	80.09	82.39	3924 (89.43)	88.48	90.30	≤0.001
Female (n = 4135)	3387 (81.91)	80.71	83.05	3707 (89.65)	88.68	90.54	≤0.001
According to the age group of the child							
6 - 11 months (n = 80)	42 (52.50)	41.02	63.79	43 (53.75)	42.24	64.97	≤0.001
12 - 23 months (n = 910)	694 (76.26)	73.39	78.91	766 (84.18)	81.66	86.40	≤0.001
24 - 35 months (n = 2110)	1717 (81.37)	79.66	82.98	1907 (90.38)	89.05	91.56	≤0.001
36 - 59 months (n = 4170)	3495 (83.81)	82.66	84.90	3803 (91.20)	90.30	92.02	≤0.001
60 months or older (n = 1253)	1005 (80.21)	77.91	82.32	1112 (88.75)	86.88	90.38	≤0.001
According to the child's parent/caregiver							
Mother (n = 7235)	5905 (81.62)	80.71	82.49	6486 (89.65)	88.92	90.33	≤0.001
Father (n = 624)	510 (81.73)	78.51	84.57	553 (88.62)	85.89	90.88	≤0.001
Grandfather/grandmother (n = 419)	346 (82.58)	78.65	85.91	381 (90.93)	87.80	93.32	≤0.001
According to the education level of the child's parent/caregiver							
No level (n = 3395)	2707 (79.73)	78.35	81.05	3043 (89.63)	88.56	90.61	≤0.001
Primary (n = 3070)	2476 (80.65)	79.22	82.01	2738 (89.19)	88.04	90.24	≤0.001
Secondary (n = 1575)	1380 (87.62)	85.90	89.15	1417 (89.97)	88.39	91.36	0.025 < p < 0.05
Higher/university (n = 238)	198 (83.19)	77.83	87.71	222 (93.28)	89.31	96.11	≤0.001
According to the marital status of the child's parent/caregiver							
Single (n = 378)	280 (74.07)	69.43	78.23	328 (86.77)	82.98	89.82	≤0.001
Married (n = 7370)	6041 (81.97)	81.07	82.83	6618 (89.80)	89.08	90.47	≤0.001
Divorced/separated (n = 273)	224 (82.05)	76.97	86.42	236 (86.45)	81.81	90.27	<0.10
Widowed (n = 257)	216 (84.05)	78.99	88.30	238 (92.61)	88.70	95.49	0.001 < p < 0.005
According to vaccination status before the campaign							
Vaccinated before (n = 6953)				6615 (95.14)	94.61	95.62	≤0.001*
Unvaccinated before (n = 1570)				1016 (64.71)	62.32	67.04	

n = sample size, *This is the comparison of two groups, "vaccinated before" and "unvaccinated before". This is not a "before and after" comparison.

Table 3. Distribution by province of estimated (weighted) vaccination coverage according to the card or history for before and after the 2019 measles follow-up vaccination campaign in the DRC.

Province	Before the campaign (%)			After the campaign (%)			p-value
	Weighted coverage	Wilson 95% CI		Weighted coverage	Wilson 95% CI		
Bas-Uele	87.62	87.49	87.76	87.82	87.68	87.95	0.025 < p < 0.05
Equateur	82.88	82.79	82.98	88.98	88.90	89.06	≪0.001
Haut-Katanga	85.97	85.91	86.02	98.39	98.37	98.41	≪0.001
Haut-Lomami	91.31	91.25	91.37	93.35	93.30	93.41	≪0.001
Haut-Uele	89.79	89.68	89.90	99.41	99.39	99.44	≪0.001
Ituri	49.33	49.25	49.41	67.07	67.00	67.14	≪0.001
Kasaï	61.45	61.37	61.52	88.52	88.47	88.57	≪0.001
Kasaï Central	90.05	90.01	90.09	96.87	96.85	96.89	≪0.001
Kasaï Oriental	68.79	68.72	68.85	82.32	82.26	82.37	≪0.001
Kinshasa	95.67	95.65	95.69	96.53	96.50	96.55	≪0.001
Kongo central	97.55	97.53	97.58	98.06	98.04	98.08	≪0.001
Kwango	97.89	97.86	97.93	99.73	99.72	99.74	≪0.001
Kwilu	85.07	85.01	85.12	96.99	96.97	97.02	≪0.001
Lomami	83.79	83.71	83.88	99.05	99.03	99.07	≪0.001
Lualaba	85.18	85.13	85.23	91.83	91.79	91.86	≪0.001
Maniema	94.68	94.64	94.73	94.70	94.66	94.75	0.1 < p < 0.9
Mayi-Ndombe	91.29	91.20	91.38	93.26	93.18	93.34	≪0.001
Mongala	79.01	78.94	79.09	83.14	83.07	83.20	≪0.001
Nord Kivu	49.68	49.59	49.76	98.95	98.93	98.97	≪0.001
Nord Ubangi	96.36	96.32	96.41	99.45	99.43	99.46	≪0.001
Sankuru	94.69	94.65	94.74	99.55	99.53	99.56	≪0.001
Sud Kivu	99.05	99.03	99.07	99.34	99.33	99.36	≪0.001
Sud Ubangi	75.96	75.87	76.05	93.48	93.43	93.53	≪0.001
Tanganyika	32.72	32.61	32.82	87.73	87.65	87.80	≪0.001
Tshopo	73.09	73.00	73.18	86.75	86.68	86.82	≪0.001
Tshuapa	82.91	82.81	83.02	95.81	95.76	95.87	≪0.001
DRC	80.84	80.83	80.86	92.56	92.56	92.57	≪0.001

The proportion of zero-doses among children aged 6 - 59 months decreased considerably after this campaign, falling below 1% in six provinces. At national level, grossly, it fell from 19.16% to 7.44% ($p \ll 0.001$), *i.e.*, a reduction of almost two thirds (**Table 5**).

In sixteen (16) provinces, the number of measles vaccine zero-doses before the campaign was halved or more after the campaign. Apart from Bas-Uele and Maniema provinces, the decrease was significant in all provinces. The largest decreases were noted in Nord-Kivu, Sankuru, Haut-Uele, Lomami, Kwango, Haut-Katanga, Nord Ubangi ($p \ll 0.001$).

Table 4. Estimated (or weighted) measles vaccine coverage according to card or history by socio-demographic characteristics of children before and after the 2019 measles follow-up vaccination campaign in the DRC.

Characteristics	Estimated vaccination coverage before campaign (routine)			Estimated vaccination coverage after the campaign			p-value
	Number (%)	Wilson 95% CI (%)		Number (%)	Wilson 95% CI (%)		
Vaccinated (N = 28,938,798)							
Yes (history/card)	23,394,499 (80.84)	80.83	80.86	26,787,151 (92.56)	92.56	92.57	≪0.001
Vaccinated according to the child's place of residence							
Rural (N = 26,882,451)	21,803,090 (81.11)	81.09	81.12	24,930,382 (92.74)	92.73	92.75	≪0.001
Urban (N = 2,056,347)	1,591,409 (77.39)	77.33	77.45	1,856,769 (90.29)	90.25	90.33	≪0.001
Vaccinated according to the sex of the child							
Male (N = 15,161,942)	12,036,143 (79.38)	79.36	79.40	13,942,591 (91.96)	91.94	91.97	≪0.001
Female (N = 13,776,856)	11,358,356 (82.45)	82.43	82.47	12,844,560 (93.23)	93.22	93.25	≪0.001
Vaccinated according to the child's age group							
6 - 11 months (N = 176,226)	83,806 (47.56)	47.32	47.79	110,836 (62.89)	62.67	63.12	≪0.001
12 - 23 months (N = 3,193,318)	2,357,087 (73.81)	73.76	73.86	2,864,164 (89.69)	89.66	89.73	≪0.001
24 - 35 months (N = 6,972,390)	5,643,287 (80.94)	80.91	80.97	6,496,071 (93.17)	93.15	93.19	≪0.001
36 - 59 months (N = 14,080,291)	11,820,993 (83.95)	83.94	83.97	13,214,409 (93.85)	93.84	93.86	≪0.001
60 months or more (N = 4,516,573)	3,489,326 (77.26)	77.22	77.29	4,101,672 (90.81)	90.79	90.84	≪0.001
Based on achievement of national measles coverage target (N = 26)							
≥95%	13 (50.00)			5 (19.23)			0.025 < p < 0.05
≥80% and <95%	12 (46.15)			13 (50.00)			0.1 < p < 0.9
<80%	1 (3.85)			8 (30.77)			0.01 < p < 0.025

N = estimated size of the population.

4. Discussion

4.1. Analysis of Campaign Results

Vaccination coverage by campaign card or history for the whole country, which was 89.53% among respondents compared to 81.58% ($p \ll 0.001$) for routine vaccination, indicates that the campaign target of 95% was not achieved. This campaign coverage is higher than the 38% measles-rubella coverage recorded by a mass campaign against cholera, polio, and measles-rubella among a displaced population and the 80.2% obtained by a vaccination campaign in rural areas of Tamil Nadu [9] [10]. Lower (68.6%) and similar (90.5%) coverage were reported by two post-campaign surveys conducted in India [11] [12].

Higher coverage was achieved in the vaccination campaign conducted in 47 counties in Kenya (95% (95% CI: 94% - 96%)) [13] and among children aged six months to 5 years in an area with a high prevalence of malnutrition in Niger (96%) [14].

Table 5. Distribution by province of the estimated proportion according to card or history of measles vaccine zero-dose before and after the 2019 measles follow-up vaccination campaign in the DRC.

Province	Estimated zero-dose before campaign (%)		Estimated zero-dose after campaign (%)		Ratio C1/C2	p-value
	Number (%) C1	Wilson 95% CI (%)	Number (%) C2	Wilson 95% CI (%)		
Bas Uele (N = 237,410)	29,382 (12.38)	12.24 12.51	28,926 (12.18)	12.05 12.32	1.02	0.025 < p < 0.05
Equateur (N = 579,116)	99,119 (17.12)	17.02 17.21	63,798 (11.02)	10.94 11.10	1.55	≪0.001
Haut-Katanga (N = 1,584,350)	222,310 (14.03)	13.98 14.09	25,493 (1.61)	1.59 1.63	8.71	≪0.001
Haut-Lomami (N = 824,676)	71,671 (8.69)	8.63 8.75	54,802 (6.65)	6.59 6.70	1.31	≪0.001
Haut-Uele (N = 303,361)	30,980 (10.21)	10,10 10.32	1777 (0.59)	0.56 0.61	17.31	≪0.001
Ituri (N = 1,581,732)	801,432 (50.67)	50,59 50.75	520,883 (32.93)	32.86 33.00	1.54	≪0.001
Kasai (N = 1,469,451)	566,536 (38.55)	38.48 38.63	168,664 (11.48)	11.43 11.53	3.36	≪0.001
Kasai Central (N = 2,036,795)	202,712 (9.95)	9.91 9.99	63,729 (3.13)	3.11 3.15	3.18	≪0.001
Kasai Oriental (N = 1,742,415)	543,888 (31.21)	31.15 31.28	308,127 (17.68)	17.63 17.74	1.77	≪0.001
Kinshasa (N = 2,755,451)	119,316 (4.33)	4.31 4.35	95,730 (3.47)	3.45 3.50	1.25	≪0.001
Kongo central (N = 1,330,492)	32,544 (2.45)	2.42 2.47	25,826 (1.94)	1.92 1.96	1.26	≪0.001
Kwango (N = 698,908)	14,725 (2.11)	2.07 2.14	1876 (0.27)	0.26 0.28	7.82	≪0.001
Kwilu (N = 1,517,067)	226,570 (14.93)	14.88 14.99	45,599 (3.01)	2.98 3.03	4.96	≪0.001
Lomami (N = 750,927)	121,693 (16.21)	16,12 16.29	7150 (0.95)	0.93 0.97	17.02	≪0.001
Lualaba (N = 1,847,786)	273,879 (14.82)	14.77 14.87	151,054 (8.17)	8.14 8.21	1.81	≪0.001
Maniema (N = 870,123)	46,263 (5.32)	5.27 5.36	46,076 (5.30)	5.25 5.34	1.004	0.1 < p < 0.9
Mayi-Ndombe (N = 369,936)	32,205 (8.71)	8.62 8.80	24,925 (6.74)	6.66 6.82	1.29	≪0.001
Mongala (N = 1,154,618)	242,314 (20.99)	20.91 21.06	194,714 (16.86)	16.80 16.93	1.25	≪0.001
Nord Kivu (N = 1,448,937)	729,166 (50.32)	50.24 50.41	15,223 (1.05)	1.03 1.07	47.9	≪0.001
Nord Ubangi (N = 698,963)	25,430 (3.64)	3.59 3.68	3868 (0.55)	0.54 0.57	6.58	≪0.001
Sankuru (N = 945,268)	50,187 (5.31)	5.26 5.35	4300 (0.45)	0.44 0.47	11.67	≪0.001
Sud Kivu (N = 1,136,845)	10,828 (0.95)	0.93 0.97	7454 (0.66)	0.64 0.67	1.44	≪0.001
South Young (N = 913,566)	219,623 (24.04)	23.95 24.13	59,537 (6.52)	6.47 6.57	3.69	≪0.001
Tanganyika (N = 751,419)	505,577 (67.28)	67.18 67.39	92,208 (12.27)	12.20 12.35	5.48	≪0.001
Tshopo (N = 901,859)	242,670 (26.91)	26.82 27.00	119,497 (13.25)	13.1 13.32	2.03	≪0.001
Tshuapa (N = 487,328)	83,279 (17.09)	16.98 17.19	20,408 (4.19)	4.13 4.24	4.08	≪0.001
DRC (N = 28,938,798)	5,544,299 (19.16)	19.14 19.17	2,151,647 (7.44)	7.43 7.44	2.58	≪0.001

However, routine coverage for the first dose of measles vaccine is higher than that reported by a longitudinal study in Nigeria (54.0% in 2018) [15] but lower than that recorded during a survey (98.9% (95% CI: 98.2% - 99.6%)) in Lincang City, Yunnan Province, China [16].

Vaccination coverage according to a document presented by the child was low for the campaign (5.85%) as for routine vaccination (3.75%); and only 3.72% of

children had a correctly completed vaccination card for the campaign. This low availability of vaccine support corroborates previous observations made both in the DRC and in other countries when estimating DTP3 vaccination coverage [17]. It was similar to that observed among children aged 12 - 23 months in Kenya (26% for MCV1) [13] but contrasted with Tounkara's [18] findings in Mali which reported that 75.9% of surveyed children had vaccination card on the day of the survey with 95.6% vaccination coverage among respondents. It also contrasted with the 66.7% vaccination card availability recorded in the Djoungolo health district in Cameroon [19]. This low availability of vaccine support did not corroborate the improvement in home recordings reported by some authors [20]. In view of the similarity of the low availability of this medium for both campaign and routine vaccination, and disregarding the delay in the survey and possible malfunctions in the supply and distribution of inputs, including campaign vaccination card to those vaccinated, it can be deduced that the possession and conservation of this medium remains a practice that is poorly developed at the level of the children's parents and insufficiently promoted by the vaccination system.

Among the respondents, the vaccination coverage of the campaign was significantly higher among children vaccinated before the campaign (95.14%) than those unvaccinated (64.71%). It did not vary significantly according to the child's place of residence, gender, age group, caregiver, or caregiver characteristics. This was not the case in Kenya where a significant variation in the campaign vaccination coverage, according to the education level of the mother, the household status, the child's schooling and other factors was noted [13].

The low coverage observed among children under 24 months and particularly those aged 6 - 11 months (62.89%; 95% CI: 62.67% - 63.12%) exposed them to the epidemic risks reported in Nigeria where 70.8% of measles confirmed cases were unvaccinated and measles incidence was highest among children aged 9 - 11 months (524 cases per million) [15]. Before the campaign, children were more vaccinated in rural areas (82.56%) than in urban areas (77.92%).

4.2. Analysis of the Contributions of the Vaccination Campaign

Estimated vaccination coverage of children aged 6 - 59 months, according to the history or the card, had increased from 80.84% before the campaign to 92.56% after ($p \ll 0.001$). This significant increase recorded in 24 of the 26 provinces in the DRC, and particularly in the provinces of Kwango (99.73%), Haut-Uele (99.41%), Lomami (99.05%), Nord Kivu (98.95) allowed half of the provinces (50%) to achieve 95% or more vaccination coverage, compared to less than 20% of the provinces before the campaign ($p \ll 0.001$). The 67% coverage of the only province which was below 80% after the campaign, compared to eight before, was close to the regional average of 66% noted in 2019 for the first dose of measles vaccine in the West Africa region [21].

Such a significant contribution was reported in Kenya where measles vaccina-

tion coverage was 96% (95% CI: 94% - 97%) among children aged 12 - 23 months after a vaccination campaign in 47 counties [13].

It follows that, as also reported in Kenya where it led to achieve 4% of zero-dose [13], this campaign induced a considerable reduction of the proportion of zero-dose children aged 6 - 59 months which fell from 19.16% to 7.44% ($p \ll 0.001$) at national level (a reduction of nearly two-thirds) and was below 1% in six provinces.

These results support the implementation of supplementary vaccination activities as part of the measles elimination strategy, especially in countries with underperforming vaccination system [22], particularly in view of the vaccination contribution to reducing the measles incidence and deaths [3] [4].

The persistence of low coverage in some provinces results from inaccessibility often due to conflicts and insecurity (case of Ituri) or the persistence of practices or behaviors unfavorable to vaccination. This stems from the observation of no link between vaccination coverage and the children's characteristics on the one hand and on the other hand from the higher proportion of vaccinated among already vaccinated children before the campaign than among those unvaccinated before. Both these findings and the paradox of the low vaccination coverage of children in urban areas where the knowledge level about vaccination is often higher than in rural areas, as reported in Senegal [22], indicate that maintaining and strengthening the gains of this follow-up campaign will require an effective response to the reasons for non-vaccination among these unvaccinated before the campaign.

4.3. Quality of Survey Results

The objectives set for the study were achieved because 100% of the provinces and expected targets were covered. The use of a validated approach for the survey [8], of the CAPI method for digitalized collection and of GPS for the electronic tracking of surveyors during the process, the control of the sampling process, and the low use of substitution clusters (less than 5% compared to the 10% planned) ensured better quality to the survey and particularly to the data collection.

However, limitations remain due to the small number of health zones enrolled per province, the systematic exclusion of few areas recognized as inaccessible (mainly due to insecurity) before the start of the survey and the low availability of vaccination documents among children's parents, leading to essentially consider statements. Despite the relative similarity between the data from vaccination documents and the mothers' declarations, significant discrepancies can also be noted between these two sources [17] [23], and the declarations could be tainted by memory bias, especially as this survey was carried out more than six months after the vaccination campaign.

5. Conclusion

The measles follow-up vaccination campaign, which took place in 2019, effec-

tively vaccinated children aged 6 - 59 months in the 26 provinces of the DRC. By ensuring the vaccination of children unvaccinated by routine vaccination sessions, this campaign improved measles vaccination coverage, bringing it to 92.56%, reducing the proportion of zero-doses by nearly two-thirds. These results, although important, mask the failure to achieve the target of 95% vaccinated children set at the national level. Given the persistence of the gap in adherence to vaccination between those vaccinated before the campaign and zero-dose children, actions should focus on removing the reasons for non-vaccination at all levels.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the enumeration and collection staff, the managers of the expanded program on immunization and the WHO office in Kinshasa, the members of the steering committee, the technical team of the post-immunization campaign survey and all respondents for their willingness to participate in the study.

Funding

The design and implementation of the survey received technical and financial support from the World Health Organization and technical support from members of the Steering Committee, including UNICEF, GAVI, PATH and the DRC Ministry of Health, the expanded programme immunization, and the National Institute of Statistics.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] Organisation mondiale de la santé (2017) Note de synthèse de l’OMS sur les vaccins contre la rougeole. Weekly Epidemiological Record.
<https://who.int/teams/immunization-vaccines-and-biologicals/policies/position-papers/measles>
- [2] Ministère de la santé, UNICEF and OMS (2021) Situation épidémiologique de la rougeole en RDC, mise à jour du 09/11/2021.
https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/sites/www.humanitarianresponse.info/files/documents/files/rdc_bulletin_hebdo_surveillance_rougeole_s43_du_09_11_2021_s h.pdf
- [3] Patel, M.K., Gacic-Dobo, M., Strebel, P.M., Dabbagh, A., Mulders, M.N., Okwo-Bele, J.M., *et al.* (2016) Progress toward Regional Measles Elimination—Worldwide, 2000-2015. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, **65**, 1228-1233.
<https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6544a6>
- [4] Muhoza, P., Danovaro-Holliday, M.C., Diallo, M.S., Murphy, P., Sodha, S.V., Requejo, J.H., *et al.* (2021) Routine Vaccination Coverage—Worldwide, 2020. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, **70**, 1495-1500.

- <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7043a1>
- [5] Organisation mondiale de la santé (2018) Programme pour la vaccination à l'horizon 2030: Une stratégie mondiale pour ne laisser personne de côté. https://www.immunizationagenda2030.org/images/documents/BLS20116_IA_Visula-ID-DesignLayout_FR_WEB.pdf
- [6] République démocratique du Congo and Ministère de la santé publique (2012) Plan stratégique national 2012-2020 d'élimination de la rougeole RDC.
- [7] World Health Organization (2016) Planning and Implementing High-Quality Supplementary Immunization Activities for Injectable Vaccines Using an Example of Measles and Rubella Vaccines: Field Guide. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/330568>
- [8] World Health Organization (2018) World Health Organization Vaccination Coverage Cluster Surveys: Reference Manual. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/272820>
- [9] Khan, A.I., Islam, M.T., Siddique, S.A., Ahmed, S., Sheikh, N., Siddik, A.U., *et al.* (2019) Post-Vaccination Campaign Coverage Evaluation of Oral Cholera Vaccine, Oral Polio vaccine and Measles-Rubella Vaccine among Forcibly Displaced Myanmar Nationals in Bangladesh. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, **15**, 2882-2886. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2019.1616502>
- [10] Priyadarshini, and Aliya, J. (2019) Coverage Survey of Measles-Rubella Mass Vaccination Campaign in a Rural Area in Tamil Nadu. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care*, **8**, 1884-1888. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_319_19
- [11] Rajkumari, B., Keisam, A., Haobam, D.S. and Thounaojam, T. (2020) Evaluation of Vaccination Coverage of Measles-Rubella Campaign in Imphal East District, Manipur: A Cross-Sectional Study. *Indian Journal of Public Health*, **64**, 173-177.
- [12] Newtonraj, A., Vincent, A., Selvaraj, K. and Manikandan, M. (2019) Status of Coverage of MR Vaccination, after Supplementary Immunization Activities in a Rural Area of South India: A Rapid Immunization Coverage Survey. *Rural and Remote Health*, **19**, 5261. <https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH5261>
- [13] Subaiya, S., Tabu, C., N'ganga, J., Awes, A.A., Serگون, K., Cosmas, L., *et al.* (2018) Use of the Revised World Health Organization Cluster Survey Methodology to Classify Measles-Rubella Vaccination Campaign Coverage in 47 Counties in Kenya, 2016. *PLOS ONE*, **13**, e0199786. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199786>
- [14] Douchi, M., Ould Mohamed, A.A., Sayadi, S., Sibongwere, D., Shepherd, S., Maidadji, O., *et al.* (2017) Campagne de vaccination contre la rougeole en période de pic épidémique dans une zone à forte prévalence de malnutrition au Niger: Cas du district sanitaire de Mirriah (Zinder). *The Pan African Medical Journal*, **27**, Article 240. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2017.27.240.11881>
- [15] Jean Baptiste, A.E., Masresha, B., Wagai, J., Luce, R., Oteri, J., Dieng, B., *et al.* (2018) Trends in Disease Incidence and Disease Vaccination Coverage in Nigeria, 2008-2018. *Vaccine*, **39**, C89-C95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2021.03.095>
- [16] Yang, X.T., Tang, T.T., Yang, Z.K., Liu, L., Yuan, S.Y. and Zhang, T. (2021) Evaluation of Measles Vaccination Coverage in Lincang City, Yunnan Province, China. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, **17**, 3145-3152. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2021.1911215>
- [17] Cutts, F.T., Claquin, P., Danovaro-Holliday, M.C. and Rhoda, D.A. (2016) Monitoring Vaccination Coverage: Defining the Role of Surveys. *Vaccine*, **34**, 6112-6113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.09.067>
- [18] Tounkara, M. (2019) Evaluation de la couverture vaccinale chez les enfants âgées de

12 à 23 mois et les mères d'enfants âgées de 0 à 11 mois en commune I du district de Bamako en 2019. Master's Thesis, Université des Sciences, des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako, Bamako.

<https://www.bibliosante.ml/bitstream/handle/123456789/4021/20M204.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

- [19] Baonga Ba Pouth, S.F., Kazambu, D., Delissaint, D. and Kobela, M. (2014) Couverture vaccinale et facteurs associés à la non complétude vaccinale des enfants de 12 à 23 mois du district de santé de Djoungolo-Cameroun en 2012. *The Pan African Medical Journal*, **17**, Article 91. <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2014.17.91.2792>
- [20] Brown, D.W. and Gacic-Dobo, M. (2015) Home-Based Record Prevalence among Children Aged 12-23 Months from 180 Demographic and Health Surveys. *Vaccine*, **33**, 2584-2593. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.03.101>
- [21] Wariri, O., Nkereuwem, E., Erondy, N.A., Edem, B., Nkereuwem, O.O., Idoko, O.T., *et al.* (2021) A Scorecard of Progress towards Diseases Elimination in 15 West African Countries, 2001-19: A Retrospective, Multicountry Analysis of National Immunization Coverage and Surveillance Data. *The Lancet Glob Health*, **9**, E280-E290. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(20\)30481-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30481-2)
- [22] Seck, I., Diop, B., Leye, M.M.M., Mboup, B.M., Ndiaye, A., Seck, P.A., *et al.* (2016) Déterminants sociaux de la couverture vaccinale de routine des enfants de 12 à 23 mois dans la région de Kaolack, Sénégal. *Santé Publique*, **28**, 807-815. <https://doi.org/10.3917/spub.166.0807>
- [23] Dansereau, E., Brown, D., Stashko, L. and Danovaro-Holliday, M.C. (2020) A Systematic Review of the Agreement of Recall, Home-Based Records, Facility Records, BCG Scar, and Serology for Ascertaining Vaccination Status in Low and Middle-Income Countries. *Gates Open Res*, **3**, 923. <https://doi.org/10.12688/gatesopenres.12916.2>



Open Journal of Epidemiology

ISSN Print: 2165-7459 ISSN Online: 2165-7467

<https://www.scirp.org/journal/ojepi>

Open Journal of Epidemiology (OJEpi) is an international journal dedicated to the latest advancement of Epidemiology. The goal of this journal is to provide a platform for scientists and academicians all over the world to promote, share, and discuss various new issues and developments in different areas of Epidemiology. All manuscripts must be prepared in English, and are subject to a rigorous and fair peer-review process. Accepted papers will immediately appear online followed by printed hard copy.

- Cancer Epidemiology
- Cardiovascular Disease Epidemiology
- Epidemiology of Aging
- Epidemiology of Zoonosis
- Infectious Disease Epidemiology
- Intestinal Epidemiology
- Neuroepidemiology
- Obesity/Diabetes Epidemiology
- Occupational Injury & Illness Epidemiology
- Oral/Dental Epidemiology
- Pediatric Epidemiology
- Psychiatric Epidemiology
- Quantitative Parasitology
- Renal Epidemiology
- Reproductive Epidemiology
- Respiratory Epidemiology
- Veterinary Epidemiology

We are also interested in short papers (letters) that clearly address a specific problem, and short survey or position papers that sketch the results or problems on a specific topic. Authors of selected short papers would be invited to write a regular paper on the same topic for future issues of the OJEpi.

Website and E-Mail

<https://www.scirp.org/journal/ojepi>

E-mail: ojepi@scirp.org